Exhibit 62

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

EASTERN DIVISION

MIYANO MACHINERY USA INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO. 08-C-526

MIYANOHITEC MACHINERY, INC., THOMAS ("TOM") MIYANO, A/K/A TOSHIHARU MIYANO AND STEVEN MIYANO, A/K/A SHIGEMORI MIYANO,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF TOSHIKATSU ITO

April 15, 2008
United States Consulate
Osaka, Japan
9:16 a.m. - 3:44 p.m.

COPY

1

1.	A. Just like to make a correction, although
2	my memory is not precise. At the time I came to
3	the company, she had shares. I think that they
4	were sold to a company overseas. Perhaps not all
5	of them. My memory's not clear, but I think that
6	they were sold.
7	BY MR. MANZO:
8	Q. Mr. Ito, as of 2002 or 2003, do you know
9	whether Tom Miyano guaranteed any of the loans of
10	MMJ?
11	A. At the time, President Miyano I think was
12	a joint grantor of loans made by
13	INTERPRETER: Sorry. "Of loans made by
14	Miyano."
14 15	Miyano." Q. So if Miyano Machinery, Inc. did not
15	
	Q. So if Miyano Machinery, Inc. did not
15 16 17	Q. So if Miyano Machinery, Inc. did not repay the loans, then Tom Miyano might have been
15 16	Q. So if Miyano Machinery, Inc. did not repay the loans, then Tom Miyano might have been forced to repay the loans; is that right?
15 16 17 18	Q. So if Miyano Machinery, Inc. did not repay the loans, then Tom Miyano might have been forced to repay the loans; is that right? MR. KARTON: Objection to the form of the
15 16 17 18 19	Q. So if Miyano Machinery, Inc. did not repay the loans, then Tom Miyano might have been forced to repay the loans; is that right? MR. KARTON: Objection to the form of the question.
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So if Miyano Machinery, Inc. did not repay the loans, then Tom Miyano might have been forced to repay the loans; is that right? MR. KARTON: Objection to the form of the question. A. He had the obligation to repay.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So if Miyano Machinery, Inc. did not repay the loans, then Tom Miyano might have been forced to repay the loans; is that right? MR. KARTON: Objection to the form of the question. A. He had the obligation to repay. BY MR. MANZO:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So if Miyano Machinery, Inc. did not repay the loans, then Tom Miyano might have been forced to repay the loans; is that right? MR. KARTON: Objection to the form of the question. A. He had the obligation to repay. BY MR. MANZO: Q. So when you said loans made by Miyano,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So if Miyano Machinery, Inc. did not repay the loans, then Tom Miyano might have been forced to repay the loans; is that right? MR. KARTON: Objection to the form of the question. A. He had the obligation to repay. BY MR. MANZO: Q. So when you said loans made by Miyano, did you mean loans made by MMJ?

ľ	
1	regard to MMU, he was also a joint guarantor.
2	Q. I just wanted to clarify, because Mr.
3	Ito, you said, according to the transcript, he was
4	a guarantor of loans made by Miyano. And I wanted
5	to understand if that was what Miyano.
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. So what Miyano was it?
8	A. I broke the rules. That Miyano must have
9	been MMJ.
10	Q. Oh, thank you. Now, in around 2002 or
11	2003, MMJ became involved with the IRCJ, is that
12	correct?
13	A. Miyano started to receive IRCJ support in
14	June of 2004. So you're referring to a slightly
15	earlier period.
16	Q. Okay. What was the consequence,
17	financially, to MMJ of its dealings with IRCJ?
18	A. As a result of receiving the support of
19	the IRCJ, Miyano was forgiven on 2.9 billion yen of
20	its bank loans and also received another 2 billion
21	yen for recapitalization. So just in terms of the
22	figures for financial support, it received 4.9
23	billion yen.
24	Q. Which Miyano was that?
25	A. I'm sorry. MMJ.

1	Q. Thank you. Thank you again. What, if
2	you know, was the consequence, financially
3	speaking, of MMJ's dealings with the IRCJ to Tom
	Miyano personally?
4	
5	
6	the IRCJ, of MMJ receiving the support of the IRCJ,
7	President the loans that President Miyano had
8	guaranteed, that is, the loans that he was joint
9	guarantor on, were all cleared up. On the other
10	hand, he, as I understand it, provided part of his
11	assets and the shares that he held to the IRCJ.
12	Q. So is it correct then that he was
13	relieved of the obligation to repay approximately
14	14 billion yen as the guarantor or the
15	co-guarantor?
16	A. I think you're wrong. At the time MMJ
17	had loans of 12.7 billion, approximately, 13
18	billion yen, and he was relieved of the guaranty on
19	those.
20	CHECK INTERPRETER: He said 1.3 billion.
21	I'm sorry.
22	MR. MANZO: 13. Okay.
23	INTERPRETER: I make mistakes, but not
24	that time.
25	BY MR. MANZO:

1	Q. Is 13 billion yen more than 100 million
2	dollars?
3	A. What is it. Well, if we converted at the
4	rate of 100 yen to the dollar, that would mean 13
5	billion yen would make about 1.3 billion dollars.
6	CHECK INTERPRETER: 130 million.
7	MR. MANZO: Wouldn't that be 130 million
8	dollars?
9	INTERPRETER: I'm sorry, you're right.
10	Thank you.
11	MR. BAKER: Is that what he said?
12	MR. KARTON: Is that what he said?
13	INTERPRETER: Yes, he did. It is 130
14	million.
15	MR. KARTON: Is that what he said?
16	INTERPRETER: Yes, it is. I made a
17	mistake.
18	MR. BAKER: That's okay.
19	CHECK INTERPRETER: I confirmed that
20	that's what he said.
21	MR. MANZO: So just to be clear
22	INTERPRETER: I'll repeat the answer, or
23	do you want to go back?
24	BY MR. MANZO:
25	Q. Just to be clear, the answer was at an

1	
1	that or not?
2	BY MR. MANZO:
3	Q. Yes, I'm asking that.
4	A. I think that perhaps the top management
5	knew that they would have to take responsibility as
6	a result of receiving the corporation's support.
7	Q. Do you recall whether this was discussed
8	at the board of directors when you were there?
9	INTERPRETER: The interpreter would just
10	like to check something. (Interpreter conferring
11	with witness).
12	A. Well, first I would say that I don't
13	remember, but I think perhaps in regard to
14	receiving support from the corporation, I don't
15	think that prior to that, there was probably any
16	resolution put to the board of directors and then
17	the banks approached. I don't think that.
18	Q. In your opinion, what would have happened
19	to MMJ without the IRCJ assistance?
20	MR. KARTON: Objection. Form of the
21	question, lack of foundation. Speculation.
22	MR. MANZO: The man was a director of the
23	corporation.
24	A. He would have been placed in a very tough
25	situation.

1.	BY MR. MANZO:
2	Q. By, "tough situation," do you mean
3	bankruptcy was possible?
4	MR. KARTON: Objection.
5	A. Yes.
6	BY MR. MANZO:
7	Q. At the time MMJ owed more money in loans
8	than it had in annual sales, is that correct?
9	A. At the time, that is 2002, yes.
10	Q. And MMJ owed money to five or more banks
11	in Japan, is that correct?
12	A. Yes.
13	MR. MANZO: I'd like to take five minutes
14	for recess.
15	(A recess was taken.)
16	BY MR. MANZO:
17	Q. Mr. Ito.
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Are we to understand that it was a
20	surprise to the directors of the company and to Tom
21	Miyano that they would have to surrender their
22	shares or that they would be devalued?
23	MR. KARTON: Objection.
24	BY MR. MANZO:
25	Q. In connection with the IRCJ?

1	A. Well, when receiving the support of the
2	IRCJ, not just Mr. Miyano, but others were required
3	to take managerial responsibility. The president
4	and all of the directors either surrendered their
5	shares or gave up retirement funds as a way of
6	taking managerial responsibility. Therefore, in
7	seeking or applying to the IRCJ for support, Mr.
8	Miyano was prepared to have to take managerial
9	responsibility.
10	Q. Earlier today, you testified about the
11	value of the Miyano brand.
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And you also referred to trademarks I
14	think in at least Mr. Karton referred to
15	trademarks when he was asking questions to you.
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. What did you mean when you referred to,
18	"the Miyano brand"?
19	A. Well, as I said earlier, regarding the
20	Miyano brand, we have been selling machine tools
21	for some 70 or 80 years under that brand and the
22	name has become well-known among users. Therefore,
23	for us, the Miyano name has substantial important
24	value.
25	Q. Mr. Ito, let me ask you whether you

1	Q. Yes.
2	A. I just don't recall. But I don't think
3	it's that large.
4	Q. Ito-san, do you know 1993 and 2002, did
5	you receive or otherwise acquire any stock of MMJ?
6	A. I have not acquired any.
7	Q. You don't own any stock at all?
8	MR. MANZO: In MMJ?
9.	MR. KARTON: Yes.
10	A. Do you mean me personally?
11	MR. KARTON: Yes.
12	A. No, I've never had any stock in Miyano
13	or, rather, I should say now I have stock, but I
14	did not have any stock between 1993 and 2006.
15	BY MR. KARTON:
16	Q. When did you acquire your stock in MMJ?
17	A. After MMJ went public in September 2006.
18	Q. Did you acquire any of your stock in MMJ
19	through stock options?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. When IRCJ restructured MMJ, did you give
22	up any of your retirement benefits?
23	CHECK INTERPRETER: He said you
24	translated as (Speaking Japanese), but I think it
25	better be translated as (Speaking Japanese).

1	INTERPRETER: I apologize. (Speaking
2	Japanese). "Yes."
3	MR. MANZO: There is a difference, I
4	assume?
5	BY MR. KARTON:
6	Q. What benefits did you give up?
7	A. I gave up 18 million yen in retirement
8	benefits.
9	Q. Did MMJ at any time own a company or a
10	factory called Ueda? Or, I'm sorry, in the town
11	called Ueda?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Does MMJ still own the factory in Ueda?
14	A. No, they've sold that.
15	Q. When did they sell that factory?
16	A. They sold it over a number of stages.
17	Q. Do you know how much MMJ obtained or
18	realized for the sale of the Ueda factory?
19	A. Well, all included, my memory is and
20	this is just roughly around 2 billion yen.
21	Q. Was that the gross proceeds of sale?
22	A. Well, yes, almost because the book value
23	was very low. So almost the entire acquirement
24	price was profit.
25	Q. Was all of the proceeds from the sale
APPRILITA MALANA	